Adder Based Residue to Binary Number Converters for $(2^n - 1, 2^n, 2^n + 1)$

Yuke Wang, Xiaoyu Song, Mostapha Aboulhamid, Hong Shen

Abstract

Based on an algorithm derived from the New Chinese Remainder Theorem I, we present three new residue-to-binary converters for the residue number system $(2^n - 1, 2^n, 2^n + 1)$, designed using 2n- bit or *n*-bit adders with improvements on speed, area, or dynamic range compared to various previous converters. The 2n- bit adder based converter is faster and requires about half the hardware required by previous methods. For *n*-bit adder based implementations, one new converter is twice as fast as the previous method using similar amount of hardware; while another new converter achieves improvement in either speed, area, or dynamic range compared to previous converters.

Keywords residue number system, arithmetic, circuit, algorithm, adders.

Footnotes

Manuscript received_____

Affiliation of authors

Yuke Wang Department of Computer Science Erik Jonsson School of Engineering and Computer Science Box 830688, MS EC 31 University of Texas at Dallas Richardson, TX 75083-0688 Email Yuke@utdallas.edu

Xiaoyu SONG Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Portland State University P.O. Box 751 Portland, OR 97207-0751 USA Mostapha Aboulhamid Departement d'informatique et de recherche operationnelle Universite de Montreal Montreal, Quebec Canada H3C 3J7

Hong Shen School of Information Science Japan Advanced Institute of Science & Technology 1-1 Asahidai, Tatsunokuchi, Ishikawa 923-1292 JAPAN

I Introduction

There has been interest in Residue Number System (RNS) arithmetic as a basis for computational hardware since the 1950's [1] [2]. During the past decade, the RNS has received considerable attention in arithmetic computation and signal processing applications, such as fast Fourier transforms, digital filtering and image processing [2][3]. The main reasons for the interests are the inherent properties of RNS such as parallelism, modularity, fault tolerance and carry free operations [3]. The technology advantages offered by VLSI have added a new dimension in the implementation of RNS-based architectures. Several high-speed VLSI special purpose digital signal processors have been successfully implemented.

Two most important issues for the residue arithmetic are the choice of moduli sets and the conversion of the residue to binary numbers. The residue number system based on the set of moduli $(2^n - 1, 2^n, 2^n + 1)$ has gained popularity and is expected to play an increasing role in RNS digital signal processing [5]. For general moduli sets, the residue to binary conversions are traditionally based on the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT) or Mixed-Radix Conversion. Some new general conversion algorithms called New Chinese Remainder Theorems have been recently proposed with smaller size modulo operations [13][14].

Several conversion methods for $(2^n - 1, 2^n, 2^n + 1)$ have been reported [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [15] [16][17][18]. Early converters [17] for such moduli sets use ROM, which can be limited by the size *n*. In recent years, converters using 2*n*-bit or *n*- bit adders have been proposed. These converters are designed using special formulas rather than the general CRT algorithm, and improvement in terms of hardware complexity has been reported. Detailed comparisons of all those converters are presented in Table 1 and 2 in this paper.

In this paper, for the moduli set $(2^n - 1, 2^n, 2^n + 1)$, we present new and uniform algorithms designed using the New Chinese Remainder Theorems for the RNS to binary conversion. Three different converters using either 2n- bit or n- bit adders are proposed. The 2n-bit adder based converter is faster and requires about half the hardware required by the previous methods [7][8][9]. For n-bit adder based implementations, one new converter is twice as fast as the previous method [6] using a similar amount of hardware; while another new converter achieves improvement in both speed and area. The amount of hardware for the new converters is similar for n-bit adder based converter compared to the one in [9]. However, in [9], not the entire dynamic range of numbers are used.

In the following, we first introduce background material and derive the formulas; then we show an example and propose three different hardware implementations.

II Background

For any two numbers X and P_i , $x_i = X \mod P_i$ is defined as $X = x_i + bP_i$ for some integer b such that $0 \le x_i < P_i$. $X \mod P_i$ can be written as X_{P_i} or $|X|_{P_i}$.

A Residue Number System is defined in terms of a set of relatively prime moduli $(P_1, P_2, ..., P_k)$, where $GCD(P_i, P_j) = 1$ for $i \neq j$. A binary number X can be represented as $X = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_k)$, where $x_i = X \mod P_i$, $0 \le x_i < P_i$. Such a representation is unique for any integer $X \in [0, M)$, $M = \prod_{1 \le i \le k} P_i$.

For the residue number system defined on the moduli set $(2^n - 1, 2^n, 2^n + 1)$, a binary number $X \in [0, 2^n(2^n - 1)(2^n + 1)) = [0, 2^{3n} - 2^n)$ can be represented as a tuple (x_1, x_2, x_3) , where x_1 and x_2 are two *n*-bit binary numbers; x_3 is a n+1-bit binary number, denoted as follows.

$$X_{2^{n}-1} = X \mod(2^{n}-1) = x_{1} = x_{1(n-1)} x_{1(n-2)} \dots x_{11} x_{10}$$
(1)

$$X_{2^n} = X \mod 2^n = x_2 = x_{2(n-1)} x_{2(n-2)} \dots x_{21} x_{20}$$
⁽²⁾

$$X_{2^{n}+1} = X \operatorname{mod}(2^{n}+1) = x_{3} = x_{3n} x_{3(n-1)} x_{3(n-2)} \dots x_{31} x_{30}$$
(3)

To convert a residue number $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ into its binary number X, Chinese Remainder Theorem and Mixed-Radix Conversion method are traditionally used. We define $|P_i^{-1}|_{P_i}$ to be the multiplicative inverse of $P_i \mod P_j$, i.e., $|P_i^{-1}|_{P_i} * P_i = 1 \mod P_j$.

Chinese Remainder Theorem The binary number X is computed by $X = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i \left| N_i^{-1} \right|_{P_i} x_i \right|_{M}, \text{ where } N_i = \frac{M}{P_i}, \text{ and } \left| N_i^{-1} \right|_{P_i} \text{ is the multiplicative inverse of}$

 $N_i \mod P_i$.

The CRT requires a modulo M (large-valued) operation, which is not very efficient. Therefore the converters proposed in [6]-[11] [15][16][18] use specially designed algorithms to remove the modulo M operation or to reduce the size of the modulo operation. For example, the converters in [6][14] are based on the formula $X = D_2 * 2^{2n} + D_1 * 2^n + x_2$, and methods are required to compute the coefficients D_1 and D_2 . In [7][9][15], the converters are based on the formula $X = Y_{(2^{2n}-1)} + x_2$ and methods for computing Y are needed in each paper. In [7], the number Y is calculated as $|A + B + C - Z|_{2^{2n}-1}$ where A, B, C, Z are 2n bit numbers obtained from (x_1, x_2, x_3) . On the other hand, the third formula in [15] reduces the size of the modulo operation from M to N_i at the expense that some part of the dynamic range $X \in [0, M)$ will not be useable.

Recently, some alternative general conversion algorithms, the New Chinese Remainder Theorems (New CRT-I, II and III) [13] [14], have been proposed, which reduce the size of the modulo operation required by the CRT.

New Chinese Remainder Theorem I (New CRT-I) Given the residue number $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, the binary number X can be computed by

$$X = |x_1 + k_1 P_1 (x_2 - x_1) + k_2 P_1 P_2 (x_3 - x_2) + \dots + k_{(n-1)} P_1 P_2 \dots P_{n-1} (x_n - x_{n-1})|_{P_1 P_2 \dots P_{n-1} P_n}$$
(4)

which can be easily simplified as the following:

$$X = x_1 + P_1 |k_1(x_2 - x_1) + k_2 P_2(x_3 - x_2) + \dots + k_{n-1} P_2 \dots P_{n-1}(x_n - x_{n-1})|_{P_2 \dots P_{n-1} P_n}$$
(5)

where $k_1P_1 = 1 \mod P_2..P_n$, $k_2P_1P_2 = 1 \mod P_3..P_n$, $..., k_{n-1}P_1..P_{n-1} = 1 \mod P_n$.

Based on the New CRT-I, we have the following theorem for n = 3.

Theorem 1 For a three moduli set (P_1, P_2, P_3) , the binary number $X = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ can be calculated as

$$X = x_1 + \left| k_1 (x_2 - x_1) + k_2 P_2 (x_3 - x_2) \right|_{P_2 P_3} P_1, \tag{6}$$

where $k_1P_1 = 1 \mod P_2P_3$ and $k_2P_1P_2 = 1 \mod P_3$.

In the next section, we apply the formula (6) to the moduli set $(2^n - 1, 2^n, 2^n + 1)$ to design the residue to binary converters.

III Basic Formulas

The following Theorem 2 is a direct application of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 For the moduli set $(2^n - 1, 2^n, 2^n + 1)$, the number *X* can be computed from (x_1, x_2, x_3) by the formula:

$$X = x_2 + 2^n * \left| (x_2 - x_3) + (x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3) 2^{n-1} (2^n + 1) \right|_{(2^{2n} - 1)}$$
(7)

Proof Using Theorem 1 and assuming that $P_1 = 2^n$, $P_2 = 2^n + 1$, and $P_3 = 2^n - 1$, we have $k_1 = 2^n$ and $k_2 = 2^{n-1}$ such that $k_1 2^n = 1 \mod(2^{2n} - 1)$ and $k_2 2^n (2^n + 1) = 1 \mod(2^n - 1)$. Therefore, we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} X &= x_2 + 2^n \left| 2^n (x_3 - x_2) + 2^{n-1} (2^n + 1) (x_1 - x_3) \right|_{2^{2n} - 1} \\ &= x_2 + 2^n \left| 2^n (x_3 - x_2) + (2^{2n} - 1) (x_3 - x_2) + 2^{n-1} (2^n + 1) (x_1 - x_3) \right|_{2^{2n} - 1} \\ &= x_2 + 2^n \left| (x_2 - x_3) + (2^{2n} + 2^n) (x_3 - x_2) + 2^{n-1} (2^n + 1) (x_1 - x_3) \right|_{2^{2n} - 1} \\ &= x_2 + 2^n \left| (x_2 - x_3) + 2^{n-1} (2^n + 1) (x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3) \right|_{2^{2n} - 1} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1 For any integers *a* and *b*, we have $\left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor + b = \left\lfloor \frac{a+2b}{2} \right\rfloor$.

Proof Let $a_0 = a \mod 2$, then we have that

$$a = 2 * \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor + a_0, \ a + 2b = 2 * \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor + a_0 + 2b = 2 * \left(\left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor + b \right) + a_0,$$

therefore we have the proposition.

Proposition 2 X can be computed by the following formula

$$X = x_2 + 2^n * Y$$
 (8-1)

where

$$Y = |A + 2^{n} * B|_{(2^{2^{n}} - 1)}$$
(8-2)

$$A = \left[\frac{(x_1 + (x_{10} \oplus x_{30}) * 2^n) + (2^n - 1 - x_3) + (2^n - 1)}{2}\right]$$
(8-3)

$$B = \left[\frac{(x_1 + (x_{10} \oplus x_{30}) * 2^n) + x_3 + 2(2^n - 1 - x_2)}{2}\right]$$
(8-4)

 x_{10} and x_{30} are the least significant bits of x_1 and x_3 respectively;

$$x_{10} \oplus x_{30}$$
 denotes the XOR operation, i.e., $x_{10} \oplus x_{30} = x_{10} XOR x_{30}$.

Proof Let $Y = |(x_2 - x_3) + (x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3)2^{n-1}(2^n + 1)|_{(2^{2n} - 1)}$ in (7), then we have $X = x_2 + 2^n * Y$, and $Y = |(x_2 - x_3) + (x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3)2^{n-1} + (x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3)2^{2n-1}|_{(2^{2n} - 1)}$.

Since
$$(x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3) = 2 * [(x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3)/2] + (x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3)_2;$$
 we denote
 $z_0 = (x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3)_2 = (x_{10} \oplus x_{30}), \ z = [(x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3)/2],$ therefore we have
 $Y = |[(x_2 - x_3) + z * 2^n + (x_{10} \oplus x_{30}) * 2^{n-1} + z * 2^{2n} + (x_{10} \oplus x_{30}) * 2^{2n-1}]|_{(2^{2n} - 1)}$
 $= |[(x_2 - x_3 + z) + (x_{10} \oplus x_{30}) * 2^{n-1} + z * 2^n + (x_{10} \oplus x_{30}) * 2^{2n-1}]|_{(2^{2n} - 1)}$

$$= |\{ [(x_{1} - x_{3})/2] + (x_{10} \oplus x_{30}) * 2^{n-1} + 2^{n} * [(x_{1} - 2x_{2} + x_{3})/2] + (x_{10} \oplus x_{30}) * 2^{2n-1} \}|_{(2^{2n} - 1)}$$

$$= |\{ [(x_{1} - x_{3})/2] + z_{0} * 2^{n-1}] + 2^{n} [(x_{1} - 2x_{2} + x_{3})/2] + z_{0} * 2^{n-1}] \}|_{(2^{2n} - 1)}$$

$$= |\{ (\frac{(x_{1} - x_{3}) + z_{0} * 2^{n} + 2^{n+1}}{2}] + 2^{n} (\frac{(x_{1} - 2x_{2} + x_{3}) + z_{0} * 2^{n}}{2}] \}|_{(2^{2n} - 1)}$$

$$= |\{ (\frac{(x_{1} - x_{3}) + z_{0} * 2^{n} + 2^{n+1}}{2}] - 2^{n}) + 2^{n} (\frac{(x_{1} - 2x_{2} + x_{3} + 2^{n+1}) + z_{0} * 2^{n}}{2}] - 2^{n}) \}|_{(2^{2n} - 1)}$$

$$= |\{ (\frac{(x_{1} - x_{3}) + z_{0} * 2^{n} + 2^{n+1}}{2}] - 1) + 2^{n} (\frac{(x_{1} - 2x_{2} + x_{3} + 2^{n+1}) + z_{0} * 2^{n}}{2}] - 1) \}|_{(2^{2n} - 1)}$$

$$= |\{ (\frac{(x_{1} - x_{3}) + z_{0} * 2^{n} + 2^{n+1} - 2}{2}]) + 2^{n} (\frac{(x_{1} - 2x_{2} + x_{3} + 2^{n+1}) + z_{0} * 2^{n}}{2}] - 1) \}|_{(2^{2n} - 1)}$$

$$= |\{ (\frac{(x_{1} - x_{3}) + z_{0} * 2^{n} + 2^{n+1} - 2}{2}]) + 2^{n} (\frac{(x_{1} - 2x_{2} + x_{3} + 2^{n+1}) + z_{0} * 2^{n} - 2}{2}] \} |_{(2^{2n} - 1)}$$

$$= |\{ (\frac{(x_{1} - x_{3}) + z_{0} * 2^{n} + 2^{n+1} - 2}{2}]) + 2^{n} (\frac{(x_{1} - 2x_{2} + x_{3} + 2^{n+1}) + z_{0} * 2^{n} - 2}{2}] \} |_{(2^{2n} - 1)}$$

i.e., we have $Y = \{A + 2^n * B\}_{(2^{2n} - 1)}$. Q. E. D.

Next we present an example using the above formulas.

Example Consider the example shown in [6]. Let $(2^n - 1, 2^n, 2^n + 1) = (7, 8, 9)$ and an number 407, which can be represented as (1, 7, 2) in the moduli set (7, 8, 9).

Now given (1, 7, 2)=(001, 111, 0010), we have

$$z_{0} = x_{10} \oplus x_{30} = 1$$

$$(x_{1} + z_{0} * 2^{n}) + (2^{n} - 1 - x_{3}) + (2^{n} - 1) = 1001 + 101 + 111 = 10101 = 21$$

$$A = \left[\frac{(x_{1} + z_{0} * 2^{n}) + (2^{n} - 1 - x_{3}) + (2^{n} - 1)}{2}\right] = 1010 = 10$$

$$(x_{1} + z_{0} * 2^{n}) + x_{3} + 2(2^{n} - 1 - x_{2}) = 1001 + 0010 + 0 = 1011 = 11$$

$$B = \left[\frac{(x_{1} + z_{0} * 2^{n}) + x_{3} + 2(2^{n} - 1 - x_{2})}{2}\right] = 101 = 5$$

$$Y = \{10 + 8 * 5\}_{2^{2^{n}}-1} = 2 + 8 * 6 = 50$$

$$X = 7 + 8 * Y = 407$$

Compared with the long calculation in page 56 in [6], the above process is much simpler.

IV New Converters

In Section III, we presented the necessary formulas for residue to binary conversion. In this section, we propose new converters using 2n-bit or n-bit adders based on the formulas (8-1), (8-2), (8-3) and (8-4).

(1) Basic Operations to Compute A and B

We have to compute the numbers $(x_1 + z_0 * 2^n) + (2^n - 1 - x_3) + (2^n - 1)$ and $(x_1 + z_0 * 2^n) + x_3 + 2(2^n - 1 - x_2)$ in order to obtain the values of $A = \left\lfloor \frac{(x_1 + z_0 * 2^n) + (2^n - 1 - x_3) + (2^n - 1)}{2} \right\rfloor$ and $B = \left\lfloor \frac{(x_1 + z_0 * 2^n) + x_3 + 2(2^n - 1 - x_2)}{2} \right\rfloor$. Let $t = (2^n - 1 - x_2) + (2^n - 1)$.

If $x_3 = 2^n$, then $x_{3n} = 1$, $x_{3(n-1)} = \dots = x_{31} = x_{30} = 0$; $t = (2^n - 2)$; which implies that $t_{n-1} = \dots = t_1 = 1 = \overline{x}_{3(n-1)} = \dots = \overline{x}_{31}, t_0 = 0$. Therefore we have

$$t = t_{n-1}...t_1 t_0 + 0 = \overline{x}_{3(n-1)}...\overline{x}_{31} 0 + \overline{x}_{3n}...\overline{x}_{3n} 0$$
(9)

If $x_3 < 2^n$, i.e., $x_{3n} = 0$, we have

$$t = (2^{n} - 1 - x_{3}) + (2^{n} - 1) = \overline{x}_{3(n-1)} \dots \overline{x}_{31} \overline{x}_{30} + 1 \dots 1 = \overline{x}_{3(n-1)} \dots \overline{x}_{31} 0 + \overline{x}_{3n} \dots \overline{x}_{3n} 0 + (\overline{x}_{30} + 1)$$

i.e., we have

$$t = (2^{n} - 1 - x_{3}) + (2^{n} - 1) = \overline{x}_{3(n-1)} \dots \overline{x}_{31} 0 + \overline{x}_{3n} \dots \overline{x}_{3n} 0 + (\overline{x}_{30} + 1)$$
(10)

Therefore we have

if $x_{3n} = 1$

$$(x_1 + z_0 * 2^n) + (2^n - 1 - x_3) + (2^n - 1) = (x_1 + z_0 * 2^n) + \overline{x}_{3(n-1)} \dots \overline{x}_{31} 0 + \overline{x}_{3n} \dots \overline{x}_{3n} 0,$$

else

$$(x_1 + z_0 * 2^n) + (2^n - 1 - x_3) + (2^n - 1) = (x_1 + z_0 * 2^n) + \overline{x}_{3(n-1)} \dots \overline{x}_{31} 0 + \overline{x}_{3n} \dots \overline{x}_{3n} 0 + (\overline{x}_{30} + 1) + (\overline{x}_{30}$$

The addition of $(x_1 + z_0 * 2^n) + (2^n - 1 - x_3) + (2^n - 1)$ is shown in Figure 1(a) and (b). Figure 1(b) shows the block diagram of the unit. It consists of *n* FAs, 2 MUXs, 1 XOR gate, and n+1 inverters. The delay of this unit is the delay of the FA plus the delay of an inverter and the delay of an MUX. The circuit produces two numbers $S_n S_{n-1} S_{n-2} \dots S_1 S_0$ and $C_{n-1}C_{n-2}\dots C_1C_0 0$. We denote $A_1 = S_n S_{n-1} S_{n-2}\dots S_1$ and $A_2 = C_{n-1}C_{n-2}\dots C_1C_0$, then $A_1 + A_2 = A$.

Next we perform the addition $(x_1 + z_0 * 2^n) + x_3 + 2(2^n - 1 - x_2)$ using FAs. The signal z_0 is connected to the carry-in bit of the full adder at the last FA in Figure 2 (a). Figure 2 (b) shows the block diagram of the unit. It consists of *n* inverters, 1 HA, and *n* FAs. The delay of this unit (nFA2) is the delay of a full adder plus the delay of an inverter. The circuit produces two numbers $S_{n+n}S_{n-1+n}S_{n-2+n}...S_{1+n}S_{0+n}$, $C_{n+n}C_{n-1+n}C_{n-2+n}...C_{1+n}C_{0+n}$. We denote $B_1 = S_{n+n}S_{n-1+n}S_{n-2+n}...S_{1+n}$ and $B_2 = C_{n-1+n}C_{n-2+n}...C_{1+n}C_{0+n}$, then $B_1 + B_2 + C_{n+n} * 2^n = B$



Figure 1 Compute A Using n FAs



Figure 2 Compute B Using n FAs

Therefore Y as defined in (8.2) now becomes:

 $Y = |A + 2^{n} * B|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2} + C_{n+n} * 2^{n})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + B_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} = |(A_{1} + B_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n} - 1} =$

$$Y = |(A_1 + A_2 + C_{n+n}) + 2^n * (B_1 + B_2)|_{2^{2n} - 1}$$
(11)

where A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 are all *n*- bit numbers; C_{n+n} is a one bit number.

The addition in (11) can be done in many different ways using 2n- bit or n- bit adders.

These different implementations will be shown below.

(2) 2n-bit Adder Based Converter - Converter I

In the following, we present the new Converter I implementing the addition in formula (11) using a 2n- bit adder.

$$Y = |(A_{1} + A_{2} + C_{n+n}) + 2^{n} * (B_{1} + B_{2})|_{2^{2n}-1} = |C_{n+n} + (A_{1} + 2^{n}B_{1}) + (A_{2} + 2^{n}B_{2})|_{2^{2n}-1}$$

= | $C_{n+n} + S_{n+n}S_{n-1+n}S_{n-2+n}...S_{1+n}S_{n}S_{n-1}S_{n-2}...S_{1} + C_{n-1+n}C_{n-2+n}...C_{1+n}C_{0+n}C_{n-1}C_{n-2}...C_{1}C_{0}|_{(2^{2n}-1)}$
where $S_{n+n}S_{n-1+n}S_{n-2+n}...S_{1+n}S_{n}S_{n-1}S_{n-2}...S_{1}$ and $C_{n-1+n}C_{n-2+n}...C_{1+n}C_{0+n}C_{n-1}C_{n-2}...C_{1}C_{0}$ are
two 2n- bit numbers, and C_{n+n} is a 1-bit number.

In the following Figure 3 (a), the units nFA1 and nFA2, used to produce A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 are connected to a 2*n*-bit 1's complement adder. The 2*n*-bit adder produces the value *Y*, which forms the 2*n* MSB's of the number *X*, while x_2 forms the *n* LSB's of *X*.



Figure 3 2n -bit Adder Based Converters

The hardware required in the new Converter I shown in Figure 3(a) is as follows: 2n FAs, 1 HA, 2 MUXs, 1 XOR gate, 2n+1 inverters and one 2n-bit 1's complement adder. The delay of the converter t_{conv} is the sum of the delay of the FA t_{FA} , the delay of an inverter t_{inv} , the delay of MUX t_{MUX} , and the delay of the 2n-bit 1's complement adder $t_{1CA(2n)} = 2t_{CPA(2n)}$ [7], i.e., $t_{conv} = t_{FA} + t_{inv} + 2t_{CPA(2n)} + t_{MUX}$.

In the literature, one of the best converters using 2n- bit adders is presented in [7]. In order to compare the performance, we show the main components used in the converter proposed in [7] as Figure 3(b). The delay in [7] is $2t_{FA} + t_{inv} + 2t_{CPA(2n)} + 3t_{MUX}$. For simplicity reason, we only compare one version of the implementation in [7]. The second implementation has the same result. From the side by side comparison, it is easy to see that we save one 2n-bit CSA with End Around Carry (EAC).

Detailed comparison of the related other converters are summarized in the following Table 1, where the data for references [8] [9] [11] are from Table I in [7]. In summary, Converter I is the best converter using 2*n*-bit adders, using about half of the hardware used in [7]. The reason for such improvement is that the converters in [8][9][11][18] are using the formula $|A + B + C - Z|_{2^{2n}-1}$ where A, B, C, Z are 2*n* bit numbers obtained from (x_1, x_2, x_3) , while the new ConverterI is derived based on the New Chinese Remainder Theorem I and is computed by $Y = |(A_1 + A_2 + C_{n+n}) + 2^n * (B_1 + B_2)|_{2^{2n}-1}$ which reduces the 4 numbers operation into 2 numbers.

 Table 1 Performance Comparison of 2n- bit Adder Based Converters

Converter	FAs	AND /OR	XOR/ XNOR	CLAs -2n	other	Delay
[8][11]	6n	-	<i>n</i> +1	2	-	$2t_{CPA(n)} + 2t_{CPA(2n)} + 2t_{XOR}$
[9]	6n	4n-2	2 <i>n</i>	1	-	$3t_{CPA(2n)} + t_{XOR} + \lceil \log(2n) \rceil t_{AND}$
[18]	6n	<i>n</i> +3	<i>n</i> +1	1		$2t_{FA} + t_{inv} + t_{CPA(2n)} + t_{MUX}$
[7]-CE	4n	2n-1	2 <i>n</i>	1	2n+1 inverter	$2t_{FA} + t_{inv} + 2t_{CPA(2n)} + 3t_{MUX}$
ConverterI	2 <i>n</i>	-	1	1	1HA, 2MUX 2n+1 inverter	$t_{inv} + t_{MUX} + t_{FA} + 2t_{CPA(2n)}$

(3) n-bit Adder Based Converters - Converter II and III

The addition in formula (11) can also be done by *n*-bit adders. In this section, we propose two such converters. The performance is to be compared with the performance of the converter in [6][15][18], which use *n*- bit adders as well. Since we can only generate *n*-bit numbers using *n*- bit adders, therefore we obtain the value *Y* in the form $Y = Y_1 + 2^n * Y_2$ where Y_1 and Y_2 are both *n*- bit binary numbers.

Recall that $Y = |(A_1 + A_2 + C_{n+n}) + 2^n * (B_1 + B_2)|_{2^{2n}-1}$, where A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 are all *n*-bit numbers; C_{n+n} is an one bit number. Using an *n*-bit adders, we can add A_1 and A_2 together with C_{n+n} which generates a sum D_1 and a carry r_1 . Similarly we can add B_1 and B_2 using an *n*- bit adder which generates a sum D_2 and a carry r_2 . Since the addition is module $2^{2n} - 1$ addition, the carry r_2 represents a number which should be added to the number $A_1 + A_2 + C_{n+n}$. For the case where the carry r_2 is 0, the sum D_1 is the value Y_1 . For

the case where the carry r_1 is 0, the sum D_2 is the value Y_2 such that $Y = Y_1 + 2^n * Y_2$. However, when the carries r_1 and r_2 are not 0, the value D_1 and D_2 must be modified to obtain the correct value of Y_1 and Y_2 . In the following, we propose Converter II and III for the operation. Compared to Converter II, Converter III achieves faster speed while using more hardware.

Converter II

In Figure 4, we use two Carry Look Ahead adders (CLA) to perform the operation $A_1 + A_2$ and $A_1 + A_2 + 1$ in parallel. The results are denoted as D_{11} and D_{12} with carry r_{11} and r_{12} respectively. If $r_{11} \neq r_{12}$, we have $D_{11} = 2^n - 1$ and $D_{12} = D_{11} + 1 = 2^n$. Similarly two CLAs are used to perform $B_1 + B_2$ and $B_1 + B_2 + 1$ while the results are denoted as D_{21} and D_{22} with carry r_{21} and r_{22} . If $r_{21} \neq r_{22}$, we have $D_{21} = 2^n - 1$ and $D_{22} = D_{21} + 1 = 2^n$.

The selector module selects the correct carry and the correct sum for the number Y_1 and Y_2 . The function of the selector is described below.

If $r_{11} \neq r_{12}$ and $r_{21} \neq r_{22}$, then $r_1 = r_2 = 0$ Else if $r_{11} = r_{12}$, then $r_1 = r_{11}$ if $r_1 = 0$, $r_2 = r_{21}$ else $r_2 = r_{22}$ Else if $r_{21} = r_{22}$, then $r_2 = r_{21}$ if $r_2 = 0$, $r_1 = r_{11}$ else $r_1 = r_{12}$

Therefore the carry $r_1 = 1$ if $(r_{11} = r_{12} = 1)$ or $(r_{21} = r_{22} = 0$ and $r_{11} = 1)$ or $(r_{21} = r_{22} = 1)$ and $r_{12} = 1$, i.e., $r_1 = r_{11}r_{12} + \bar{r}_{21}\bar{r}_{22}r_{11} + r_{21}r_{22}r_{12}$. Similarly $r_2 = r_{21}r_{22} + \bar{r}_{11}\bar{r}_{12}r_{21} + r_{11}r_{12}r_{22}$.

The selector implements these two functions. Note here the selector does not introduce any extra delay since CLAs are used and the carries $r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{21}, r_{22}$ are generated during the carry generation phase of the CLAs and available for evaluation to the selector while the CLAs perform the summation.



Figure 4 Converter II - Using 4 *n*-bit Adders

The hardware required in Figure 4 includes: 2n FAs, 1 HA, 2+2n MUXs, 1 XOR gate, 2n+5 inverters (including 4 inverters for the selector), 2 AND gates for the selector, and 4 *n*- bit CLAs. The delay of the converter is $t_{conv} = t_{inv} + t_{FA} + t_{CLA(n)} + t_{MUX}$.

Converter III

Considering the fact that $D_{12} = D_{11} + 1$ and $D_{22} = D_{21} + 1$, we can replace the CLA2 and CLA4 in Figure 4 by other combinational circuits that perform the operation $D_{12} = D_{11} + 1$ and $D_{22} = D_{21} + 1$. The following Figure 5 shows such a converter.

The circuit *plus1* performs the function of adding 1 to an *n*- bit input numbers. Consider $D = d_{n-1}d_{n-2}...d_1d_0$, $D+1 = d_{n-1}d_{n-2}...d_1d_0 + 1 = e_ne_{n-1}e_{n-2}...e_1e_0$. We have the following equations, which imply that the circuit *plus1* requires *n*-1 XOR gates and *n* AND gates plus 1 inverter.

$$e_0 = \overline{d}_0; e_1 = d_1 \oplus d_1 d_0; e_i = d_i \oplus d_{i-1} \dots d_0; e_{n-1} = d_{n-1} \oplus d_{n-2} \dots d_0; e_n = d_{n-1} d_{n-2} \dots d_0$$

The hardware required in Figure 5 includes: 2n FAs, 2+2n MUXs, 1+2(n-1) XOR gate, 2n+5+2 inverters (including 4 inverters for the selector, 2 for the plus1 circuit),

2+2*n* AND gates for the selector and the *plus1* circuit, 1 HA, and 2 *n*- bit CLAs. The delay of the converter is $t_{conv} = t_{inv} + t_{FA} + t_{XOR} + t_{CLA(n)} + t_{AND} + t_{MUX}$.



Figure 5 Converter III - Using 2 n-bit Adders

In order to make clear comparison, the following Figure 6 shows the main components for the converter proposed in [6]. No detailed implementation is given for each module in [6]. We evaluate the performance based on [4]. Recently the results in [4] are also used to evaluate the performance in [7]. Modules M1 and M2 require 2 CLAs, 1 CSA, all are *n*- bit adders; 1 XOR for generating C1, 2*n* inverters for 2's complement operation. M3 and M4 require two additional CPAs and 2*n* inverters for 2's complement operation. Module M6 uses 9 AND gates, 1 OR gates, 8 inverters, and 1 XOR gate. M5 uses 8*n bit memory to store the value. The delay is $t > (2t_{inv} + 2t_{CPA(n)} + t_{FA}) + t_{XOR} + t_{inv} + t_{AND}$ $= 3t_{inv} + t_{FA} + t_{XOR} + t_{AND} + 2t_{CPA(n)}$.



Figure 6 Converter proposed in [6]

Two more recent converters using *n*-bit adders have also been proposed in [15] and [18]. The one in [18] is based on the approach in [7] and therefore has high hardware cost, while the one in [15] has similar hardware cost as the new converters proposed here. However, the converter in [15] has some unused dynamic range.

The following Table 2 summarizes the comparison of the two converters proposed in this paper and the converter in [6][15][18], where CII stands for Converter II while CIII for Converter III.

 Table 2 Performance Comparison of n- bit Adder Based Converters

	FA	MUX	XOR	AND	INV	HA	Mem	CLA	Delay
				/OR					
CII	2 <i>n</i>	2 <i>n</i> +2	1	2	2 <i>n</i> +5	1	0	4	$t_{inv} + t_{FA} + t_{MUX} + t_{CLA(n)}$
CIII	2 <i>n</i>	2 <i>n</i> +2	2n-1	2+2n	2n+7	1	0	2	$t_{inv} + t_{FA} + t_{XOR} + t_{AND} + t_{MUX} + t_{CLA(n)}$
[6]	п	0	2	10	4n+8	0	8n	4	$3t_{inv} + t_{FA} + t_{XOR} + t_{AND} + 2t_{CLA(n)}$
[18]	6n	2 <i>n</i>	<i>n</i> +1	<i>n</i> +3	0	0	0	4	$2t_{FA} + 2t_{NAND} + t_{CPA(n)} + t_{MUX}$
[15]	2n	2 <i>n</i>	0	0	2n	0	0	4	$t_{OR} + t_{ANDOR} + t_{inv} + 2t_{MUX} + t_{FA} + t_{CPA(n)}$

Assume $t_{MUX} = 2$, $t_{FA} = 2$, $t_{inv} = 1 = t_{AND}$, $t_{CLA(n)} = \log n$, $t_{XOR} = 2$, then the delay of

Converter II is $t_{inv} + t_{FA} + t_{MUX} + t_{CLA(n)} = 5 + \log n$. The delay of Converter III is $t_{inv} + t_{FA} + t_{XOR} + t_{AND} + t_{MUX} + t_{CLA(n)} = 8 + \log n$. The delay of the converter in [6] is $3t_{inv} + t_{FA} + t_{XOR} + t_{AND} + 2t_{CLA(n)} = 8 + 2\log n$. The delay of Converter II is almost half of the delay of the converter in [6].

Assume the straightforward implementation of the CLA which consists of carry lookahead unit and a summation unit which in total require $2n + \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ AND gates, 2nXOR gates, and n OR gates. The hardware requirement in [6] is even higher than the hardware required in Converter III while its delay is longer.

V Conclusion

Three different residue-to-binary converters for the special moduli $(2^n - 1, 2^n, 2^n + 1)$ have been presented in this paper. Compared to various previous proposed converters, the new converters proposed here have better performance in terms of speed and area. The new converters are designed based on the recently introduced New Chinese Remainder Theorems. It is expected that for other moduli sets, the New Chinese Remainder Theorems will also improve the design of residue-to-binary converters.

Acknowledgement

The authors kindly acknowledge detailed comments from referees that have improved the quality of the manuscript.

References

[1] H. L. Garner, "The residue number system", IRE Trans. Electronic Computers, Vol. EC-8, pp. 140-147, June 1959.

[2] N. Szabo and R. Tanaka, "Residue arithmetic and its applications to computer technology, New York, McGraw-Hill, 1967.

[3] M. A. Soderstrand, et al., Eds, "Residue number system arithmetic: modern applications in digital signal processing", New York, IEEE Press, 1986.

[4] Hwang, "Computer arithmetic principles, architecture, and design", John Wiley and Sons. 1979

[5] A. Ashur, M. K. Ibrahim, and A. Aggoun, "Novel RNS structures for the moduli set

 $(2^{n} - 1, 2^{n}, 2^{n} + 1)$ and their application to digital filter implementation", Signal processing 46 (1995) 331-343.

[6] D. Gallaher, F. Petry, and Padmini Srinivasan, "The digital parallel method for fast RNS to weighted number system conversion for specific moduli $(2^k - 1, 2^k, 2^k + 1)$ ", IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems -II, Vol. 44, No. 1, January 1997, pp. 53-57.

[7] S. Piestrak, "A high-speed realization of a residue to binary number system converter", IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems -II, Vol. 42, No. 10, October 1995.

[8] K. Ibrahim and S. Saloum, "An efficient residue to binary converter design", IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems, Vol. 35, No. 9, September 1988.

[9] S. Andraos and H. Ahmad, "A new efficient memoryless residue to binary converter", IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems, Vol. 35, No. 11, November 1988.

[10] F. Taylor and A. S. Ramnarynan, "An efficient residue-to-decimal converter", IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems, Vol. CAS-28, NO. 12, December 1981.

[11] A. Dhurkadas, comments on "An efficient residue to binary converter design", IEEE Transactions. on Circuits and Systems, Vol. 37, June 1990, pp. 849-850.

[12] Y. Wang and M. Abd-el-Barr, "A New Algorithm for RNS Decoding", IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems - I, Vol. 43, No. 12, December 1996.

[13] Yuke Wang, "Residue-to-binary converters based on New Chinese remainder theorems", *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems - II*., March, 2000, pp. 197-206.

[14] Yuke Wang, "New Chinese remainder theorems", Proceeding of 32th Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems & Computers, 1998. Vol. 1, pp. 165 -171 vol.1

[15] R. Conway, J. Nelson, "Fast converter for 3 moduli RNS using new property of CRT", IEEE Trans. on Computers, Vol. 48, NO. 8, August 1999, pp. 852-860.

[16] B. Vinnakota and V. V. B. Rao, "Fast conversion techniques for binary-residue number systems", IEEE Trans. on CAS -I, Vol. 41, No. 12, December 1994, pp. 927-929.

[17] W. J. Jenkins, "Techniques for residue-to-analog conversion for residue-encoded digital filters", IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems, Vol. CAS-25, pp. 555-562, July 1978. [18] M. Bhardwaj, A. B. Premkumar, and T. Srikanthan, "Breaking the 2n-bit carry propagation barrier in residue to binary conversion for the $(2^n - 1, 2^n, 2^n + 1)$ module set", IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems – II, Vol. 45, No. 9, September 1998, pp. 998-

1002.