Recurrent Nets and Attention for System 2 Processing Yoshua Bengio

July 30th, 2018, CIFAR Deep Learning & Reinforcement Learning Summer School, Toronto





CIFAR CANADIAN INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED RESEARCH ICRA INSTITUT CANADIEN DE RECHERCHES AVANCÉES

Recurrent Neural Networks

• Can read or produce an output at each time step: unfolding the graph tells us how to back-prop through time.



Recurrent Neural Networks

• Selectively summarize an input sequence in a fixed-size state vector via a recursive update



$$s_t = G_t(x_t, x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, \dots, x_2, x_1)$$

Generalizes naturally to new lengths not seen during training

Generative RNNs

4

• An RNN can represent a fully-connected **directed generative model**: every variable predicted from all previous ones.

$$P(\mathbf{x}) = P(x_1, \dots, x_T) = \prod_{t=1}^{1} P(x_t | x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, \dots, x_1)$$

$$L_{t-1} \qquad L_{t} \qquad L_{t+1} \qquad L_{t+1} \qquad L_{t+1} \qquad L_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, \dots, x_1)$$

$$W \qquad V_{t-1} \qquad$$

Conditional Distributions

 $h^{(\tau)}$

 $x^{(au)}$

 $y^{(t+1)}$

 $L^{(t+1)}$

 $h^{(t+1)}$

 $x^{(t-1)}$

 $x^{(t)}$

 $h^{(t-1)}$

 $\boldsymbol{x}^{(...)}$

 $L^{(t)}$

- Sequence to vector
- Sequence to sequence of the same length, aligned
- Vector to sequence



Maximum Likelihood = ... Teacher Forcing $\hat{y}_t \sim P(y_t | h_t)$

- During training, past y in input is from training data
- At generation time, past y in input is generated
- Mismatch can cause "compounding error"



 (\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t) : next input/output training pair

6

Ideas to reduce the train/generate mismatch in teacher forcing

• Scheduled sampling (S. Bengio et al, NIPS 2015)



Related to SEARN (Daumé et al 2009) DAGGER (Ross et al 2010) Gradually increase the probability of using the model's samples vs the ground truth as input.

 Backprop through open-loop sampling recurrence & minimize long-term cost (but which one? GAN would be most natural → *Professor Forcing*, NIPS'2016)

Increasing the Expressive Power of RNNs with more Depth

• ICLR 2014, How to construct deep recurrent neural networks



Bidirectional RNNs, Recursive Nets, Multidimensional RNNs, etc.

• The unfolded architecture needs not be a straight chain



Multiplicative Interactions

(Wu et al, 2016, arXiv:1606.06630)



 $\phi(\boldsymbol{lpha}\odot \mathbf{W} \boldsymbol{x}\odot \mathbf{U} \boldsymbol{z}+\boldsymbol{eta}_1\odot \mathbf{U} \boldsymbol{z}+\boldsymbol{eta}_2\odot \mathbf{W} \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{b})$

Multiscale or Hierarchical RNNs

```
(Bengio & Elhihi, NIPS 1995)
```

- Motivation :
 - Gradients can propagate over longer spans through slow time-scale paths
- Approach :
 - Introduce a network architecture that update the states of its hidden layers with different speeds in order to capture multiscale representation of sequences.



Learning Long-Term Dependencies with Gradient Descent is Difficult

Y. Bengio, P. Simard & P. Frasconi, IEEE Trans. Neural Nets, 1994

How to store 1 bit? Dynamics with multiple basins of attraction in some dimensions

 Some subspace of the state can store 1 or more bits of information if the dynamical system has multiple basins of attraction in some dimensions



Robustly storing 1 bit in the presence of bounded noise

• With spectral radius > 1, noise can kick state out of attractor



Storing Reliably → Vanishing gradients

- Reliably storing bits of information requires spectral radius<1
- The product of T matrices whose spectral radius is < 1 is a matrix whose spectral radius converges to 0 at exponential rate in T

$$L = L(s_T(s_{T-1}(\dots s_{t+1}(s_t, \dots))))$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial s_t} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s_T} \frac{\partial s_T}{\partial s_{T-1}} \dots \frac{\partial s_{t+1}}{\partial s_t}$$

If spectral radius of Jacobian is < 1 → propagated gradients vanish

Vanishing or Exploding Gradients

 Hochreiter's 1991 MSc thesis (in German) had independently discovered that backpropagated gradients in RNNs tend to either vanish or explode as sequence length increases



Why it hurts gradient-based learning

 Long-term dependencies get a weight that is exponentially smaller (in T) compared to short-term dependencies

$$\frac{\partial C_t}{\partial W} = \sum_{\tau \le t} \frac{\partial C_t}{\partial a_\tau} \frac{\partial a_\tau}{\partial W} = \sum_{\tau \le t} \frac{\partial C_t}{\partial a_t} \frac{\partial a_t}{\partial a_\tau} \frac{\partial a_\tau}{\partial W}$$

Becomes exponentially smaller for longer time differences, when spectral radius < 1

Vanishing Gradients in Deep Nets are Different from the Case in RNNs

 If it was just a case of vanishing gradients in deep nets, we could just rescale the per-layer learning rate, but that does not really fix the training difficulties.



• Can't do that with RNNs because the weights are shared, & total true gradient = sum over different "depths" $\frac{\partial C_t}{\partial W} = \sum_{\tau \leq t} \frac{\partial C_t}{\partial a_\tau} \frac{\partial a_\tau}{\partial W} = \sum_{\tau \leq t} \frac{\partial C_t}{\partial a_t} \frac{\partial a_t}{\partial a_\tau} \frac{\partial a_\tau}{\partial W}$

To store information robustly the dynamics must be contractive

• The RNN gradient is a product of Jacobian matrices, each associated with a step in the forward computation. To store information robustly in a finite-dimensional state, the dynamics must be contractive [Bengio et al 1994].

$$\begin{split} L &= L(s_T(s_{T-1}(\ldots s_{t+1}(s_t,\ldots))))) \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial s_t} &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial s_T} \frac{\partial s_T}{\partial s_{T-1}} \cdots \frac{\partial s_{t+1}}{\partial s_t} & \text{Storing bits} \\ \text{robustly requires} \\ \text{e-values<1} \end{split}$$

- Problems:
 - e-values of Jacobians > 1 \rightarrow gradients explode

Gradient clipping

- or e-values < 1 → gradients shrink & vanish
- or random \rightarrow variance grows exponentially

19

Dealing with Gradient Explosion by Gradient Norm Clipping



20

RNN Tricks

(Pascanu, Mikolov, Bengio, ICML 2013; Bengio, Boulanger & Pascanu, ICASSP 2013)

- Clipping gradients (avoid exploding gradients)
- Skip connections & leaky integration (propagate further)
- Multiple time scales / hierarchy (propagate further)
- Momentum (cheap 2nd order)
- Initialization (start in right ballpark avoids exploding/vanishing)
- Sparse Gradients (symmetry breaking)
- Gradient propagation regularizer (avoid vanishing gradient)
- Gated self-loops (LSTM & GRU, reduces vanishing gradient)

Delays & Hierarchies to Reach Farther



Multi-Scale: Chung, Cho & Bengio ACL'2016 🦉



Learned segmentation

MILA



Hierarchical Multiscale RNNs Chung, Ahn & Bengio ICLR'2017





Text8			
Model	BPC		
td-LSTM (Zhang et al., 2016)	1.63		
HF-MRNN (Mikolov et al., 2012)	1.54		
MI-RNN (Wu et al., 2016)	1.52		
Skipping-RNN (Pachitariu & Sahani, 2013)			
MI-LSTM (Wu et al., 2016)			
BatchNorm LSTM (Cooijmans et al., 2016)	1.36		
HM-LSTM	1.32		
LayerNorm HM-LSTM	1.29		

Boundary detectors have binary states!



Gradient signal:

- straight-through
- REINFORCE

Fighting the vanishing gradient: LSTM & GRU

(Hochreiter 1991); first version of the LSTM, called Neural Long-

- Term Storage with self-loop Create a path where
- Create a path where gradients can flow for longer with a self-loop
- Corresponds to an eigenvalue of Jacobian slightly less than 1
- LSTM is now **heavily used** (Hochreiter & Schmidhuber 1997)
- GRU light-weight version (Cho et al 2014)



Gating for Attention-Based Neural Machine Translation

Related to earlier Graves 2013 for generating handwriting

- (Bahdanau, Cho & Bengio, arXiv sept. 2014, ICLR 2015)
- (Jean, Cho, Memisevic & Bengio, arXiv dec. 2014, ACL 2015)



Gating for Attention-Based Neural Machine Translation

Incorporating the idea of **attention**, using GATING units, has unlocked a breakthrough in machine translation: (ICLR'2015) **Neural Machine Translation** OOOOOOOOOOOOO Higher-level Softmax over lower locations conditioned on context at lower and higher locations Lower-level $\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ current human n-gram neural net translation translation translation Human evaluation •₂₇ Now in Google Translate

Graph Attention Networks Velickovic et al, ICLR 2018

- Handle variable-size neighborhood of each node using the same neural net by using an attention mechanism to aggregate information from the neighbors
- Use multiple attention heads to collect different kinds of information $(\vec{h}_2) = \vec{\alpha}_{11}$



Attention Mechanisms for Memory Access

- Neural Turing Machines (Graves et al 2014)
- and Memory Networks (Weston et al 2014)
- Use a content-based attention mechanism (Bahdanau et al 2014) to control the read and write access into a memory
- The attention mechanism outputs a softmax over memory locations

Read = weighted average of attended contents



From Memory to System 2

- Attention has also opened the door to neural nets which can write to and read from a memory
 - 2 systems:
 - Cortex-like (state controller and representations)
 - System 1, intuition, fast heuristic answer (what current DL does quite well)
 - Hippocampus-like (memory) + prefrontal cortex
 - System 2, slow, logical, sequential
- Memory-augmented networks gave rise to
 - Systems which reason
 - Sequentially combining several selected pieces of information (from the memory) in order to obtain a conclusion
 - Systems which answer questions
 - Accessing relevant facts and combining them



Large Memory Networks: Sparse Access Memory for Long-Term Dependencies

- Memory = part of the state
- Memory-based networks are special RNNs
- A mental state stored in an external memory can stay for arbitrarily long durations, until it is overwritten (partially or not)
- Forgetting = vanishing gradient.
- Memory = higher-dimensional state, avoiding or reducing the need for forgetting/vanishing





Pointing the Unknown Words Gulcehre, Ahn, Nallapati, Zhou & Bengio ACL 2016 Based on 'Pointer Networks', Vinyals et al 2015 Guillaume et Cesar ont une voiture bleue a Lausanne French: The next word generated Copy Сору Сору can either come from English: Guillaume and Cesar have a blue car in Lausa vocabulary or is copied Vocabulary softmax from the input sequence. nikilk.... Point & copy yw Table 5: Europarl Dataset (EN-FR) Pointer distribution (ℓ_t)

S_{t-1}

BLEU-4 Machine **NMT** 20.1923.76 Translation NMT + PS

Table 3: Results on Gigaword Corpus for modeling UNK's with pointers in terms of recall.

	Rouge-1	Rouge-2	Rouge-L
NMT + lvt	36.45	17.41	33.90
NMT + lvt + PS	37.29	17.75	34.70

Text summarization

Target Sequence

 h_1

 h_2

 \mathbf{X}_2

. . . **BiRNN**

. . .

Source Sequence

32

Variational Hierarchical RNNs for Dialogue Generation (Serban et al 2016)

Lower level = words of an utterance (turn of speech)



Upper level = state of the dialogue

Multi-Head Attention

We can run multiple attention mechanisms in parallel to focus on different aspects of the data

Attention(Q, K, V) =
$$softmax\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)V$$

 $head_i = Attention(QW_i^Q, KW_i^K, VW_i^V)$

 $MultiHeadAttention(Q, K, V) = Concat(head_1, ..., head_h)W^{O}$ 34





Self-Attention & Transformers

Vaswani et al Arxiv: 1706.03762

- Parallelize encoder
- Encode location of each item, no need for RNN
- Transform each location based on attention from all others
- See also Sparse Attentive Backtracking, Ke et al Arxiv: 1711.02326

From: Jakob Uszkoreit, Google AI Blog, 2017

Using an Associative Memory to Bridge Large Time Spans and Avoid BPTT

- Self-Attentive Backtracking, Ke et al Arxiv: 1711.02326
- Associate past and present events using a predictor, which acts like a trainable attentive skip connection between associated events
- Sparse attention to select few such events
 36



May be a way for brains to avoid implausible BPTT
Still Far from Human-Level AI

Industrial successes mostly based on supervised learning



Adversarial example

- Learning superficial clues, not generalizing well outside of training contexts, easy to fool trained networks:
 - Current models cheat by picking on surface regularities
- Need to climb the ladder of higher-level abstractions

How to Discover Good Disentangled Representations

- How to discover abstractions?
- What is a good representation? (Bengio et al 2013)
- Need clues (= priors) to help disentangle the underlying factors, e.g.
 - Spatial & temporal scales
 - Marginal independence
 - Simple dependencies between factors
 - Consciousness prior
 - Causal / mechanism independence
 - Controllable factors



Acting to Guide Representation Learning & Disentangling

(E. Bengio et al, 2017; V. Thomas et al, 2017)

- Some factors (e.g. objects) correspond to 'independently controllable' aspects of the world
- Can only be discovered by acting in the world
 - Control linked to notion of objects & agents
 - Causal but agent-specific & subjective: affordances

Abstraction Challenge for Unsupervised Learning

- Why is modeling P(acoustics) so much worse than modeling P(acoustics | phonemes) P(phonemes)?
- Wrong level of abstraction?
- many more entropy bits in acoustic details then linguistic content

→ predict the future in in abstract space instead: non-trivial

The Consciousness Prior Bengio 2017, arXiv:1709.08568

- Conscious thoughts are very low-dimensional objects compared to the full state of the (unconscious) brain
- Yet they have unexpected predictive value or usefulness

 \rightarrow strong constraint or prior on the und

- Thought: composition of few selected factors / concepts (key/value) at the highest level of abstraction of our brain
- Richer than but closely associated with short verbal expression such as a sentence or phrase, a rule or fact (link to classical symbolic AI & knowledge representation)



41

How to select a few relevant abstract concepts making a thought? Content-based Attention

On the Relation between Abstraction and Attention

- Attention allows to focus on a few elements out of a large set
- Soft-attention allows this process to be trainable with gradientbased optimization and backprop

Attention focuses on a few appropriate abstract or concrete elements of mental representation



The Consciousness Prior Bengio 2017, arXiv:1709.08568

- 2 levels of representation:
 - High-dimensional abstract representation space (all known concepts and factors) *h*



Disentangling up to Linear Projection

- My old view of disentangling: each dimension of the representation = one 'nameable' (semantic) factor
- Potential problem: the number of 'nameable' factors is limited by the number of units, and brains don't use a completely localized representation for named things
- My current view of disentangling: it is enough that a linear projection exist to 'classify' or 'predict' any of the factors
- The 'number' of potential 'nameable' factors is now exponentially larger (e.g. subsets of dimensions, weights of these projections)

45

The Consciousness Prior Bengio 2017, arXiv:1709.08568

• Conscious prediction over attended variables A (soft attention)



What Training Objective?



- How to train the attention mechanism which selects which variables to predict?
 - Representation learning without reconstruction:
 - Maximize entropy of code
 - Maximize mutual information between past and future
 - Objective function completely in abstract space, higher-level parameters model dependencies in abstract space
 - Usefulness of thoughts: as conditioning information for action, i.e., a particular form of planning for RL, i.e., the estimated gradient of rewards
- could also be used to drive learning of abstract representations
- 47

