

SEMANTIC IMAGE SEGMENTATION USING THE ICM ALGORITHM

Lazhar Khelifi & Max Mignotte

DIRO, University of Montreal {*khelifil, mignotte*}@*iro.umontreal.ca*



body boat

Introduction: Semantic image segmentation

- Semantic image segmentation has recently become the focus of considerable interest
- This task consists in assigning a predefined class label to each pixel in an image
- Task with a complexity challenge

Combined criteria used in our model

Criterion	Name	Dimension
Color	Color histogram	125
Texture	Oriented gradient	40
	histogram	
Shape	Pixel area	1
Location	Top height	1
Context	Context histogram	21

Optimization

- Global optimization problem incorporating a nonlinear objective function
- Algorithm: Iterative Conditional Modes (ICM)[2]

Results

Example of segmentation results on two images from the MSRC-21 dataset compared to other algorithms

Methods

Various methods for scene parsing have been proposed in the literature

• Classifying each pixel independently High computational cost

• Pairwise Markov random field (MRF) Learning and inference are often expensive

Key idea

Grouping pixels into super-pixels A novel and simple energy-minimization model

Proposed system overview

Our MC-SSM model

• A single class label is assigned to each region by optimizing a global fitness function that measures the quality of the generated solution:

 $\hat{S}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{MC}} = \arg\min_{S \in \mathcal{S}_{\scriptscriptstyle O}} \overline{\mathsf{MC}} \left(I, R_I, S, \{\mathcal{I}_k, \mathcal{S}_k\}_{k \leq K} \right) \quad (1)$ with: $\overline{\mathsf{MC}}\left(I, R_{I}, S, \{\mathcal{I}_{k}, \mathcal{S}_{k}\}_{k \leq K}\right) = \\ \alpha \sum^{m} \mathsf{COL}\left(I, r_{I}^{i}, s_{I}^{i}, \{\mathcal{I}_{k}, \mathcal{S}_{k}\}^{s_{I}^{i}}\right)$ (2) $+ \beta \sum_{i=1} \mathsf{TEX}(I, r_I^i, s_I^i, \{\mathcal{I}_k, \mathcal{S}_k\}^{s_I^i})$ $+ \gamma \sum \mathsf{SHA}ig(r_I^i, s_I^i, \{\mathcal{I}_k, \mathcal{S}_k\}^{s_I^i}ig)$



book chair road

 \mathbf{cat}

Global accuracy on the MSRC-21 dataset

 \mathbf{bird}

Algorithms	Accuracy (%)
SuperParsing [7] in [8]	61.50
SIM [9]	69.70
SVM-BoW [10]	62.70
MC-SSM	66.07



 $+ \delta \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathsf{LOC}\left(r_{I}^{i}, s_{I}^{i}, \{\mathcal{I}_{k}, \mathcal{S}_{k}\}^{s_{I}^{i}}\right) \\ + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{h} \left\{ \sum \mathsf{CTX}\left(r_{I}^{m}, s_{I}^{i}, \{\mathcal{I}_{k}, \mathcal{S}_{k}\}^{s_{I}^{i}}\right) \right\}$

where:

I: Input image

 $R_I = \{r_I^1, r_I^2, \dots, r_I^m\}$: Region segmentation of I (to be semantically labeled)

m: Number of regions (r) in R_I

 ${\mathcal{I}_k, \mathcal{S}_k}_{k \leq K}$: A set (or a training corpus) of K images \mathcal{I}_k and their corresponding semantic segmentations \mathcal{S}_k , respectively

 S_{Ω} : Set of all possible semantically labeled segmentation maps of *I* (based on its partition into regions R_I

Example results



References

- [1] L. Khelifi, M. Mignotte. A novel fusion approach based on the global consistency criterion to fusing multiple segmentations. IEEE Trans. on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems, Accepted, 2016.
- [2] J. Besag. On the statistical analysis of dirty pictures. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society.*, B-48:259–302, 1986.

(a) Input image

(b) Image segmentation (achieved by the GCEBFM algorithm [1]) (c) Corpus of images (d) Semantic segmentation of each image on the corpus (e) Scene parsing result

 $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda$: Weights of the different terms of the energy function

 $\{\mathcal{I}_k, \mathcal{S}_k\}^{s_I^i}$: Set of images and associated semantic segmentation solutions (belonging to the training corpus) that contains a region semantically labeled s_I^i and h is the total number of those semantic segmentations in the corpus $\{\mathcal{C}\}^{s_I^i} = \{\mathcal{I}_k, \mathcal{S}_k\}^{s_I^i}$

[3] L. Ladicky, C. Russell, P. Kohli and P.H.S. Torr. Associative hierarchical random fields. EEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell., vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 1056–1077, 2013.

[4] P. Kohli, L. Ladicky and P.H.S. Torr. Robust higher order potentials for enforcing label consistency. Int. J. Comput. Vis., vol. 82, no. 3, pp. 302–324, 2009.

[5] Z. Tu and X. Bai. Auto-context and its application to high-level vision tasks and 3D brain image segmentation. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell., vol. 32, no. 10, pp. 1744–1757, 2010.

[6] V. Haltakov, C. Unger and S. Ilic. Geodesic pixel neighborhoods for 2D and 3D scene understanding. Comput. Vis. Image Underst., vol. 148, pp. 164–180, 2016.

[7] J. Tighe and S. Lazebnik. SuperParsing: Scalable Nonparametric Image Parsing with Superpixels. in proc. European Conf. Computer Vision (ECCV), 2010.

[8] A. Bassiouny and M. El-Saban. Semantic segmentation as image representation for scene recognition. in proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Image Process. (ICIP), 2014, pp. 981-985.

[9] F. Briggs, X. Z. Fern, and R. Raich. Rank-loss support instance machines for MIML instance annotation. *in proc. 18th ACM Int Conf. on Knowledge Discovery* and Data mining (SIGKDD), 2012, pp. 534–542.

[10] F. Liu, G. Lin, and C. Shen. CRF learning with CNN features for image segmentation. Pattern Recognit., vol. 48, no. 10, pp. 2983–2992, 2015.