Lecture 16 - scribbles - PAC-Bayes Friday, March 10, 2017 · throng: PAC-Bouges - probit Obs hw: kay (usually): hw(x) = argmax S(x,y,w) theory for smutured prediction:

Rast Recture: Linked Lynus with Lplus

uniformly over all $w \in W$ (countable)
using complexity $|w|_{to}$

PAC-Bayes: generalize this to enbitrary W · general ((y,y) E [01)]

by using <u>randomined</u> productor i.e. instead & W (1=hista)

then we work with Eq [LIW] as the generalization Hg [Inlu] empriced version

PAC-Bayes Hpm. [Mc Alloster 1999, 2003] (Plyry) = [011] for any fixed prior 71 over (V) and any dist. P on 1xxx than with prob 21-8 over Dn v pon

aspects of structural prediction

1) constraints on Y I theory open?

3) ((4,4)

3) structural score function: $S(\alpha, y; \omega) = \sum S_{c}(\alpha, y_{c}; \omega)$

 $< w, \ell(\alpha, y) > \ell(\alpha, y) > \ell(\alpha, y) > \ell(\alpha, y) = \ell(\alpha, y) + \ell(\alpha, y) +$ (Llw) or P from context)

 $\hat{L}_{n}(\omega) = \hat{L}_{n}(\omega) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \left(\underbrace{\mathbb{Z}}_{n}(y^{(i)}, h_{\omega}(x^{(i)})) \right)$

Consider à distribution over W

predict, first was glw; y=hw(x)

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall \ \text{distributions} \ \ q \ , \qquad &\exists \ \{ L_0(\omega) \} \leq \exists \ \{ L_0(\omega) \} + \bigcup_{\text{path}} \ \sqrt{K} (q) | n \rangle + 0 n \} \\ & \text{is} \ \ W \ \text{is} \ \text{countrolle} \ , \ \text{Out } \ \text{prob} = 1 \exists \omega = \omega \} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{KL} (q) | \pi) = \bigcup_{\text{path}} \ \text{Congress} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{KL} (q) | \pi) = \bigcup_{\text{path}} \ \text{Congress} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{Eq.} \ \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{Eq.} \ \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{Eq.} \ \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{Eq.} \ \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{Eq.} \ \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{Eq.} \ \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{Eq.} \ \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{Eq.} \ \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{Eq.} \ \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{Eq.} \ \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{Eq.} \ \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{Eq.} \ \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{Eq.} \ \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{Eq.} \ \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{Eq.} \ \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{Eq.} \ \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{Eq.} \ \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{Eq.} \ \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{How } \ \text{Eq.} \ \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |_{\pi} \\ & \text{Ling} = 0 n 2 | \omega |$$

Teaching Page 2

 $Sprdoit(\omega) \leq \left(\frac{1}{1-1}\right)\left(Sprdoit(\omega) + An(1 ||\omega||^2 + 2n \frac{1}{5})\right) \forall \omega$ define $\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Sprdist}(\omega) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \mathbb{E}_{(x,y)} \circ \rho \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Sprdist}(x,y;\omega) \end{array} \right) \\ \text{wew} \end{array} \right)$ thm 1 % lot In Po slowly enough so that In -> 0

Worn

Then Spronit (win) = L' = min Llw)

wew McAllotin calls this "constancy" [Lacoto-Sulien unpublished fix: if L(w) is cts, then $L(\hat{w}_n) \stackrel{a.g.}{=} L^* = mh L|w|$ consistency even in the Misspechia solling well specified - Arthip = arg min L(h); Ly FWEW s.E. L[hw]=L(hp) L(wn)-L(hp) = L(wn)-L(w)+ L(w)-L(hp)

estimation regret approximation regret proxidee: use Catoni's PAC-bayes bound Pardoit (wa) 5 (1) / Pardoit (wan) + An (Wal) + On!) / with prob 2 (-8n)

Sprant (aw) + Inn lún Sprahit (aw) ≤ Llw) Dephant have: Not hard to get win (because Sprahit I w) is non-convex)

nest: Convex surrigate los

Pointers:

- PAC-Bayes bound from McAllester 2003 was taken Lemma 4 in:
 - o Generalization Bounds and Consistency for Structured Labeling in Predicting Structured Data, edited by G. Bakir, T. Hofmann, B. Scholkopf, A. Smola, B. Taskar, and S. V. N. Vishwanathan. MIT Press, 2007 http://nagoya.uchicago.edu/~dmcallester/colbounds.pdf
 - o see also proof in his lecture notes that I had linked to last lecture: http://nagoya.uchicago.edu/~dmcallester/ttic101-07/lectures/generalization/generalization.pdf
- probit loss and its consistency for structured prediction: David McAllester, Joseph Keshet, Generalization Bounds and Consistency for Latent Structural Probit and Ramp Loss, (oral), NIPS 2011 https://papers.nips.cc/paper/4268-generalization-bounds-and-consistencyfor-latent-structural-probit-and-ramp-loss.pdf