

today: continue RKHS in all their glory? 'l'

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k(x, \cdot), k(x', \cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= k(x, x') \\ \uparrow \quad \quad \uparrow & \\ \Phi(x) \quad \Phi(x') & \quad \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(x') \rangle = k(x, x') \end{aligned}$$

representer's thm: says that (for $\mathcal{H}$ a RKHS)

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(y_i, f(x_i)) + \lambda \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

$$\text{is reached for } f^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* k(x_i, \cdot)$$

$(x_i, y_i)_{i=1}^n$
training set

$$\text{let } f_{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i k(x_i, \cdot) \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\text{then } \|f_{\alpha}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \langle f_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha^T K \alpha$$

Gram matrix: $(K)_{ij} \triangleq k(x_i, x_j)$ $n \times n$ matrix

$\hookrightarrow$ inner product of $\Phi(x_i)$ on data $K_{ij} = \langle \Phi(x_i), \Phi(x_j) \rangle$

$$\min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(y_i, \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j k(x_j, x_i)}_{f_{\alpha}(x_i)}) + \lambda \alpha^T K \alpha$$

finite dim.
opt.
(thanks to representer's thm)

getting a handle on $\mathcal{H}$: generalize diagonalization of matrices to ∞ -dim

I) start with finite matrices

say X is finite e.g. $x_1, \dots, x_n$;
 $|X| = n$

$$\begin{aligned} f: X &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \hookrightarrow \text{finite} &\Rightarrow \text{just a vector} \end{aligned} \quad \begin{pmatrix} f(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_n) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (\text{"2-view"})$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \text{span} \{ k(x_i, \cdot) : i=1, \dots, n \} = \{ K\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n \} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$$

"2-view"

$$\text{let } K \text{ be Gram matrix } (K)_{ij} \triangleq k(x_i, x_j)$$

let K be Gram matrix $(K)_{ij} \triangleq k(x_i, x_j)$
 $n \times n$

if K is a valid $\Rightarrow K \succeq 0$

(i.e. defines a inner product)

spectral thm.

$$K = U \Lambda U^T \quad \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \quad \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \lambda_n \geq 0$$

we can let $\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} U^T$

and U is orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$\text{i.e. } U = \begin{pmatrix} | & & | \\ \psi_1 & \dots & \psi_n \\ | & & | \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U^T U = U U^T = I_n \quad \langle \psi_i, \psi_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \delta_{ij}$$

$$\Rightarrow K = \Phi^T \Phi$$

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} \psi_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\lambda_d} \psi_d^T \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$d = \text{rank}(K) \leq n$

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(x_1) & \dots & \Phi(x_n) \\ 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \Phi(x_i), \Phi(x_j) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} = k(x_i, x_j)$$

$\Rightarrow$ if we define, x -coord of ψ_i vector

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} \psi_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\lambda_d} \psi_d(x) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

"feature space" pt. of view

$$k(x_i, x_j) = \langle \Phi(x_i), \Phi(x_j) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} = \sum_{l=1}^d \lambda_l \psi_l(x_i) \psi_l(x_j)$$

$$\text{note: } K \psi_i = \lambda_i \psi_i$$

back to $\mathcal{H}$ -view: $H \in \mathcal{H}_2$
 $(\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n)$

$$v \in \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow v = K \alpha \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\text{to get } \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \text{ we compute } \alpha_v = K^+ v$$

$$\text{so } \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \alpha_v^T K \alpha_v = v^T K^+ K v$$

$$K = U \Lambda U^T$$

$$= v^T U \Lambda U^T U \begin{pmatrix} I_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^T v$$

$$K^+ = U \Lambda^+ U^T$$

$$= (U^T v)^T \Lambda^+ (U^T v)$$

$$K K^+ = U \Lambda U^T U \begin{pmatrix} I_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^T U \Lambda^+ U^T$$

$$= U \begin{pmatrix} I_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^T$$

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\langle v, \psi_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{\lambda_j}$$

$\Rightarrow U^T v$ is projection of v onto $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}$ basis
 i.e. $v = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \psi_j$ i.e. $\beta_j = \langle v, \psi_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} (U^T v)_j$

$$\text{vs. } \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle v, \psi_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = 1$$

$$\dots \leq n$$

and $\|u_j\|_H^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_j}$ for $j \leq d$

" $\|u_j\|_H = +\infty$ " for $j > d$

so orthonormal basis of H in L_2 is $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^d$

$\langle v, v \rangle_{S_2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i^2$

$\langle v, v \rangle_H = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_i^2}{\lambda_i}$

thus $\|v\|_H \leq 1$

$\hookrightarrow$ makes an ellipsoid in S_2

i.e. higher coordinates are shrunk more (since λ_i is smaller as $i \nearrow$)

14h45

II) generalization to ∞ -dim H

suppose X is a compact space (eg $X = [0, 1]$)

+ Lebesgue measure on it

$L_2(X) \triangleq \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \int_X (f(x))^2 dx < \infty\}$

$l_2 \triangleq \{(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{ s.t. } \sum_i \alpha_i^2 < \infty\}$

let k be a ctr psd kernel fct. (note: it is symmetric)

$\hookrightarrow$ with respect to standard norm on $X \times \mathbb{R}$

$K_V = \sum_i K_{i \cdot} \cdot V_i$

define $L_K: L_2 \rightarrow L_2$ s.t. $[L_K f](\cdot) \triangleq \int_X k(x, \cdot) f(x) dx$

then can show that

L_K is a "compact self-adjoint positive" operator

and yields an (countable) orthonormal basis (for L_2) of e -functions for L_K

$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i^2 < \infty$

with non-negative e -values $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3 \dots \geq 0$

i.e. $L_K u_i = \lambda_i u_i$

$\langle f, L_K g \rangle_{S_2}$

$\{ = \langle L_K f, g \rangle_{S_2}$

finite version

$\begin{aligned} \langle v, K w \rangle &= v^T K w \quad \text{use } K = K^T \\ &= v^T K^T w \\ &= (K v)^T w \\ &= \langle K v, w \rangle \end{aligned}$

"... T"

ie. $L_k \psi_i = \lambda_i \psi_i$

and we have $k(x, x') = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \psi_i(x) \psi_i(x')$

Merz's thm.

$= \langle k v, w \rangle$

" $U L U^T$ "

like $k = \Phi^T \Phi$ of before

a) feature space $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2$

$\Phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$ with $(\Phi(x))_i \triangleq \sqrt{\lambda_i} \psi_i(x)$

here: $k(x, x') = \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(x') \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_2}$

"diagonalised representation"

[valid element of $\mathcal{L}_2$ because $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\Phi(x))_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \psi_i(x)^2 = k(x, x) < \infty$]

here identify $k(x, \cdot) \in \mathcal{L}_2$ as $\Phi(x) \in \mathcal{L}_2$

(do not what $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2$ looks like though)

b) $\mathcal{L}_2$ new:

$\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2$: $\mathcal{H} = \{f \in \mathcal{L}_2 : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\langle f, \psi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2}_{\lambda_i} < \infty\}$

$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\langle f, \psi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_2} \langle g, \psi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_2}}_{\lambda_i}$

$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \infty$

ellipsoid in $\mathcal{L}_2$

⊗ if k is "universal"

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{H}_k$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}_2$ i.e. for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_2$

$\exists$ a sequence $h_n \in \mathcal{H}_k$ s.t. $\|h_n - f\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$

note: if $f \notin \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow \|h_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \infty$

* non-parametric learning

$\hat{f}_n = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}(y_i, f(x_i))}_{\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \mathcal{L}(f)} + \lambda_n \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$

$f^* \triangleq \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{L}_2} \mathbb{E} \mathcal{L}(Y, f(X))$ perhaps $f^* \notin \mathcal{H}$

but $\mathcal{H}$ dense in $\mathcal{L}_2$

+ regularity property of $\mathcal{L}$ + decrease λ_n at correct rate

but H dense in $\mathcal{L}_2$
 + regularity property of J + decrease λ_n at correct rate
 $\Rightarrow$ consistency of $\hat{f}_n$ i.e. $\hat{f}_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{L}_2} f^*$

e.g. SVM with RBF kernel is "universally consistent"
 when $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ at correct rate