# Spectral Learning of Weighted Automata and Connections with RNNs and Tensor Neworks.

Guillaume Rabusseau

Université de Montréal - Mila - CIFAR CCAI chair



April 1, 2020 MCQLL Meeting

Supervised Learning:

Supervised Learning:

- Classical learning algorithms assume  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}^p$ .
- How to handle input/output structured data?

Supervised Learning:

- Classical learning algorithms assume  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}^p$ .
- How to handle input/output structured data?
  - ► Tensor structured data: Images, videos, spatio-temporal data, ...



$$\in \mathbb{R}^{32 \times 32 \times 3} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{3072}$$

Supervised Learning:

- Classical learning algorithms assume  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}^p$ .
- How to handle input/output structured data?
  - ► Tensor structured data: Images, videos, spatio-temporal data, ...
  - Discrete structured data: strings, trees, graphs, ...



Supervised Learning:

- Classical learning algorithms assume  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}^p$ .
- How to handle input/output structured data?
  - ▶ Tensor structured data: Images, videos, spatio-temporal data, ...
  - Discrete structured data: strings, trees, graphs, ...
- In both cases, one can leverage linear and tensor algebra to design learning algorithms.

## Outline

#### Weighted Automata (WA)

- 2 Spectral Learning of Weighted Automata
- 3 A Small Detour through Tensors and Tensor Networks
- 4 Weighted Automata Vs. RNNs
- 5 Beyond Strings and Trees: Graph Weighted Models

## Introduction

• How can one learn with structured objects such as strings and trees?



• Intersection of Theoretical Computer Science and Machine Learning...

## Introduction

• How can one learn with structured objects such as strings and trees?



- Intersection of Theoretical Computer Science and Machine Learning...
- → Weighted Automata: robust model to represent functions defined over structured objects (and in particular probability distributions).

## Introduction

• How can one learn with structured objects such as strings and trees?



- Intersection of Theoretical Computer Science and Machine Learning...
- → Weighted Automata: robust model to represent functions defined over structured objects (and in particular probability distributions).
  - String Weighted Automata (WA): generalize Hidden Markov Models
  - Weighted Tree Automata (WTA): closely related to PCFGs

## Weighted Automata (WA)

Σ a finite alphabet (e.g. {a, b}), Σ\* strings on Σ (e.g. abba), λ the empty string.

- Σ a finite alphabet (e.g. {a, b}), Σ\* strings on Σ (e.g. abba), λ the empty string.
- Recall: a Deterministic Finite Automaton (DFA) recognizes a language (subset of  $\Sigma^*$ ).



 $\hookrightarrow$  a DFA computes a function  $f: \Sigma^* \to \{\top, \bot\}.$ 

## Weighted Automata: States and Weighted Transitions

Example with 2 states and alphabet  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ 



slide credits: B. Balle, X. Carreras, A. Quattoni - ENMLP'14 tutorial

## Weighted Automata: States and Weighted Transitions

Example with 2 states and alphabet  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ 

**Operator Representation** 



slide credits: B. Balle, X. Carreras, A. Quattoni - ENMLP'14 tutorial

- $\Sigma$  a finite alphabet (e.g.  $\{a, b\}$ ),  $\Sigma^*$  strings on  $\Sigma$  (e.g. *abba*)
- A WA computes a function  $f: \Sigma^* \to \mathbb{R}$

- $\Sigma$  a finite alphabet (e.g.  $\{a, b\}$ ),  $\Sigma^*$  strings on  $\Sigma$  (e.g. *abba*)
- A WA computes a function  $f: \Sigma^* \to \mathbb{R}$
- Weighted Automaton:  $A = (oldsymbol{lpha}, \{oldsymbol{A}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in\Sigma}, oldsymbol{\omega})$  where

$$\begin{split} & \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ initial weights vector} \\ & \boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ final weights vector} \\ & \mathbf{A}^{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \text{ transition weights matrix for each } \sigma \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \end{split}$$

- $\Sigma$  a finite alphabet (e.g.  $\{a, b\}$ ),  $\Sigma^*$  strings on  $\Sigma$  (e.g. *abba*)
- A WA computes a function  $f: \Sigma^* \to \mathbb{R}$
- Weighted Automaton:  $A = (oldsymbol{lpha}, \{oldsymbol{A}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in\Sigma}, oldsymbol{\omega})$  where

$$\begin{split} & \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ initial weights vector} \\ & \boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ final weights vector} \\ & \mathbf{A}^{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \text{ transition weights matrix for each } \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \end{split}$$

• A computes a function  $f_A: \Sigma^* \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} \mathbf{A}^{\sigma_1} \mathbf{A}^{\sigma_2}\cdots \mathbf{A}^{\sigma_k} \boldsymbol{\omega}$$



## Weighted Automata and Representation Learning



• A WA induces a mapping  $\phi: \Sigma^* \to \mathbb{R}^n \ (\sim \text{ word embedding})$ 

## Weighted Automata and Representation Learning



- A WA induces a mapping  $\phi: \Sigma^* \to \mathbb{R}^n \ (\sim \text{ word embedding})$
- The mapping  $\phi$  is compositional:

$$\phi(\lambda) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top}, \ \phi(\sigma_1) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} \mathbf{A}^{\sigma_1}, \ \phi(\sigma_1 \sigma_2) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} \mathbf{A}^{\sigma_1} \mathbf{A}^{\sigma_2} = \phi(\sigma_1) \mathbf{A}^{\sigma_2}, \ \dots$$

## Weighted Automata and Representation Learning



- A WA induces a mapping  $\phi: \Sigma^* \to \mathbb{R}^n$  (~ word embedding)
- The mapping  $\phi$  is compositional:

$$\phi(\lambda) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top}, \ \phi(\sigma_1) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} \mathbf{A}^{\sigma_1}, \ \phi(\sigma_1 \sigma_2) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} \mathbf{A}^{\sigma_1} \mathbf{A}^{\sigma_2} = \phi(\sigma_1) \mathbf{A}^{\sigma_2}, \ \dots$$

• The output  $f_A(x) = \langle \phi(x), \omega \rangle$  is linear in  $\phi(x)$ .

## Spectral Learning of Weighted Automata

### Hankel matrix

H<sub>f</sub> ∈ ℝ<sup>Σ\*×Σ\*</sup>: Hankel matrix of f : Σ\* → ℝ
 Definition: prefix p, suffix s ⇒ (H<sub>f</sub>)<sub>p,s</sub> = f(ps)

	а	Ь	aa	ab	
а	f (aa)	f(ab)			]
Ь	f(ba)	f(bb)			
aa	f(aaa)	f(aab)			
ab	:	:	÷	÷	:
÷	:	:	÷	÷	· ]

Spectral Learning of Weighted Automata

•  $\mathbf{H}_f \in \mathbb{R}^{\Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*}$ : Hankel matrix of  $f : \Sigma^* \to \mathbb{R}$ 

Definition: prefix p, suffix  $s \Rightarrow (\mathbf{H}_f)_{p,s} = f(ps)$ 

• Fundamental theorem [Carlyle and Paz, 1971; Fliess 1974]:

 $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{H}_f) < \infty \iff f$  can be computed by a WA

## Hankel matrix and WA

**Theorem (Fliess '74)** The rank of a *real* Hankel matrix H equals the minimal number of states of a WFA recognizing the weighted language of H



#### slide credits: B. Balle

Guillaume Rabusseau

## Hankel matrices

In addition to the Hankel matrix

For each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  we also define

## Hankel matrix: spectral learning

 $H_a(p,s) = A(pas)$ 

 $A(p_1 \cdots p_t a s_1 \cdots s_{t'}) = \alpha^{\top} A_{p_1} \cdots A_{p_t} A_a A_{s_1} \cdots A_{s_{t'}} \beta$ 



H = P S  $H_a = P A_a S$   $A_a = P^+ H_a S^+$ 

#### slide credits: B. Balle

Guillaume Rabusseau

## Spectral Learning of Weighted Automata (in a nutshell)

- 1. Choose a set of prefixes and suffixes,  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S} \subset \Sigma^*.$
- 2. Estimate the Hankel sub-blocks **H** and  $\mathbf{H}_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{S}}$  for each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ f(aa) & f(ab) \\ f(ba) & f(bb) \\ f(aaa) & f(aab) \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{H}_{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ f(a\sigma a) & f(a\sigma b) \\ f(b\sigma a) & f(b\sigma b) \\ aa \end{bmatrix}$$

- 3. Perform rank *n* decomposition  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{PS}$
- 4. WA with initial/final weights  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{P}_{\lambda,:}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \mathbf{S}_{:,\lambda}$  and transition matrices  $\mathbf{A}^{\sigma} = \mathbf{P}^{\dagger}\mathbf{H}_{\sigma}\mathbf{S}^{\dagger}$  is a minimal WFA for f.
- $\rightarrow\,$  Efficient and consistent learning algorithms for weighted automata [Hsu et al., 2009; Bailly et al. 2009; Balle et al., 2014, ...].

Theorem

If the set of prefixes and suffixes  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S} \subset \Sigma^*$  are such that

$$\mathsf{rank}(\mathsf{H}_{\mathcal{P} imes\mathcal{S}}) = \mathsf{rank}(\mathsf{H}_{\Sigma^* imes\Sigma^*}) < \infty$$

then the spectral learning algorithm returns a minimal WA computing f.

#### Theorem

If the set of prefixes and suffixes  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S} \subset \Sigma^*$  are such that

$$\mathsf{rank}(\mathsf{H}_{\mathcal{P} imes\mathcal{S}}) = \mathsf{rank}(\mathsf{H}_{\mathbf{\Sigma}^* imes\mathbf{\Sigma}^*}) < \infty$$

then the spectral learning algorithm returns a minimal WA computing f.

Suppose f is computed by a WA. By a continuity argument, if we are given noisy estimates  $\hat{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{H} + \boldsymbol{\xi}$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\sigma} = \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\sigma} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\sigma}$  we have

$$\lim_{\|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|\to 0, \ \|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\sigma}\|\to 0} \hat{f} = f$$

where  $\hat{f}$  is the estimator returned by the spectral method.

#### Theorem

If the set of prefixes and suffixes  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S} \subset \Sigma^*$  are such that

$$\mathsf{rank}(\mathsf{H}_{\mathcal{P} imes\mathcal{S}}) = \mathsf{rank}(\mathsf{H}_{\mathbf{\Sigma}^* imes\mathbf{\Sigma}^*}) < \infty$$

then the spectral learning algorithm returns a minimal WA computing f.

Suppose f is computed by a WA. By a continuity argument, if we are given noisy estimates  $\hat{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{H} + \boldsymbol{\xi}$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\sigma} = \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\sigma} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\sigma}$  we have

$$\lim_{\|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|\to 0, \ \|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\sigma}\|\to 0} \hat{f} = f$$

where  $\hat{f}$  is the estimator returned by the spectral method.

 $\hookrightarrow$  When f is a probability distribution, we get an unbiased and consistent estimator! [c.f. work of B. Balle]

#### Theorem

If the set of prefixes and suffixes  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S} \subset \Sigma^*$  are such that

$$\mathsf{rank}(\mathsf{H}_{\mathcal{P} imes\mathcal{S}}) = \mathsf{rank}(\mathsf{H}_{\Sigma^* imes\Sigma^*}) < \infty$$

then the spectral learning algorithm returns a minimal WA computing f.

Suppose f is computed by a WA. By a continuity argument, if we are given noisy estimates  $\hat{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{H} + \boldsymbol{\xi}$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\sigma} = \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\sigma} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\sigma}$  we have

$$\lim_{\|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|\to 0, \ \|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\sigma}\|\to 0} \hat{f} = f$$

where  $\hat{f}$  is the estimator returned by the spectral method.

 → When f is a probability distribution, we get an unbiased and consistent estimator! [c.f. work of B. Balle]

(if not, then first do matrix completion, then spectral method [Balle and Mohri, 2013])

## Open problems

- $\bullet$  Selecting prefixes and suffixes  ${\mathcal P}$  and  ${\mathcal S}$  from the data
- Constraining the WA model (e.g. probabilistic automaton)
- Extension to semi-rings
- Extension to non-linear models
- Extension to graphs (trees done to some extent)
- Spectral method as an initialization to local optimization for non-linear models

o . . .

## A Small Detour through Tensors and Tensor Networks

Tensors



$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2} & \mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3} \\ \mathbf{M}_{ij} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for } i \in [d_1], j \in [d_2] & (\mathcal{T}_{ijk}) \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for } i \in [d_1], j \in [d_2], k \in [d_3] \end{split}$$











Matrix product:

$$(\mathsf{AB})_{i_1,i_2} = \sum_k \mathsf{A}_{i_1k} \mathsf{B}_{ki_2}$$









## Multilinear Maps

• Liner map  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^p$  maps **x** to  $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{W} \times_2 \mathbf{x}$  for some  $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times d}$ :



## Multilinear Maps

• Liner map  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^p$  maps **x** to  $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{W} \times_2 \mathbf{x}$  for some  $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times d}$ :



Multilinear map g: ℝ<sup>d</sup><sub>1</sub> × ℝ<sup>d</sup><sub>2</sub> → ℝ<sup>p</sup> maps (u, v) to W ×<sub>2</sub> u ×<sub>3</sub> v for some W ∈ ℝ<sup>p×d<sub>1</sub>×d<sub>2</sub></sup>:



- $\Sigma$  a finite alphabet (e.g.  $\{a, b\}$ ),  $\Sigma^*$  strings on  $\Sigma$  (e.g. *abba*)
- A WA computes a function  $f: \Sigma^* \to \mathbb{R}$
- Weighted Automaton:  $A = (oldsymbol{lpha}, \{oldsymbol{A}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in\Sigma}, oldsymbol{\omega})$  where

$$\begin{split} & \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ initial weights vector} \\ & \boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ final weights vector} \\ & \mathbf{A}^{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \text{ transition weights matrix for each } \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \end{split}$$

• A computes a function  $f_A: \Sigma^* \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} \mathbf{A}^{\sigma_1} \mathbf{A}^{\sigma_2}\cdots \mathbf{A}^{\sigma_k} \boldsymbol{\omega}$$



## WA and Matrix Product States / Tensor Train

We can rewrite

$$f(\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k) = \qquad \mathbf{A}^{\sigma_1} - \mathbf{A}^{\sigma_2} - \cdots - \mathbf{A}^{\sigma_k} - \boldsymbol{\omega}$$

as



where  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times \Sigma \times n}$  defined by  $\mathbf{A}_{:,\sigma,:} = \mathbf{A}^{\sigma}$  and  $\mathbf{e}_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{\Sigma}$  is the *one-hot* encoding of  $\sigma$ .

Connections between HMMs and MPS already noticed by Critch et al. around 2013 (and likely even before)

## Weighted Automata Vs. RNNs

## Weighted Automata Vs. Recurrent Neural Networks



• Recurrent neural networks can also deal with sequence data

- Remarkably expressive models, impressive results in speech and audio recognition
- $\ominus$  Less tractable than WA, limited understanding of their inner working
- Connections between WA and RNN:
  - Can RNN learn regular languages? [Giles et al, 1992], [Avcu et al., 2018]
  - Can we extract finite state machines from RNNs? [Giles et al, 1992], [Weiss et al., 2018], [Ayache et al., 2018]
  - Can we combine FSMs with WA? [Rastogi et al., 2016], [Dyer et al., 2016]
  - To which extent Weighted Automata are linear RNNs?

## 2nd order RNNs

• Recurrent Neural Network (RNN):

$$(\mathsf{x}_1,\mathsf{x}_2,\mathsf{x}_3,\cdots)\mapsto (\mathsf{y}_1,\mathsf{y}_2,\mathsf{y}_3,\cdots)$$

• Vanilla RNN:

$$\mathbf{h}_t = g(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{V}\mathbf{h}_{t-1}), \quad \mathbf{y}_t = g(\mathbf{M}\mathbf{h}_t)$$

## 2nd order RNNs

• Recurrent Neural Network (RNN):

$$(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \cdots) \mapsto (\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \mathbf{y}_3, \cdots)$$

• Vanilla RNN:

$$\mathbf{h}_t = g(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{V}\mathbf{h}_{t-1}), \quad \mathbf{y}_t = g(\mathbf{M}\mathbf{h}_t)$$

• Second-order RNN [Giles et al., NIPS'90]:

$$\mathbf{h}_t = g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}} \times_2 \mathbf{x}_t \times_3 \mathbf{h}_{t-1})$$

 $\rightarrow$  order 2 multiplicative interactions:  $[\mathbf{h}_t]_i = g\left(\sum_{j,k} \mathcal{W}_{ijk}[\mathbf{x}_t]_j[\mathbf{h}_{t-1}]_k\right)$ .

Weighted Automata and Recurrent Neural Networks

• The hidden state of a second-order RNN is computed by

$$\mathbf{h}_t = g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}} \times_2 \mathbf{x}_t \times_3 \mathbf{h}_{t-1})$$



Weighted Automata and Recurrent Neural Networks

• The hidden state of a second-order RNN is computed by

$$\mathbf{h}_t = g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}} \times_2 \mathbf{x}_t \times_3 \mathbf{h}_{t-1})$$



• The computation of a weighted automaton is very similar!



Weighted Automata and Recurrent Neural Networks

• The hidden state of a second-order RNN is computed by

$$\mathbf{h}_t = g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}} \times_2 \mathbf{x}_t \times_3 \mathbf{h}_{t-1})$$



• The computation of a weighted automaton is very similar!

$$(\text{where } \mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times \Sigma \times n} \text{ defined by } \mathcal{A}_{:,\sigma,:} = \mathbf{A}^{\sigma})$$

## WAs $\equiv$ linear 2-RNNs

Theorem

WAs are expressively equivalent to second-order linear RNNs for computing functions over sequences of discrete symbols.

## WAs $\equiv$ linear 2-RNNs

#### Theorem

WAs are expressively equivalent to second-order linear RNNs for computing functions over sequences of discrete symbols.

- But 2-RNNs can compute functions over sequences of continuous vectors (e.g., word embeddings), what about WAs?
- $\hookrightarrow$  We can extend the definitions of WAs to continuous vectors!

## Continuous WA / linear 2-RNN

#### Definition

A continuous WA is a tuple  $A = (\alpha, \mathcal{A}, \omega)$  where

 $lpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$  initial weights vector  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$  final weights vector  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes d imes n}$  is the transition tensor.

A computes a function  $f_A:(\mathbb{R}^d)^* o \mathbb{R}$  defined by



## WAs $\equiv$ linear 2-RNNs

#### Theorem

WAs are expressively equivalent to second-order linear RNNs (linear 2-RNNs) for computing functions over sequences of discrete symbols.

• But 2-RNNs can compute functions over sequences of continuous vectors (e.g., word embeddings), what about WAs?

#### $\hookrightarrow$ We can extend the definitions of WAs to continuous vectors!

## WAs $\equiv$ linear 2-RNNs

#### Theorem

WAs are expressively equivalent to second-order linear RNNs (linear 2-RNNs) for computing functions over sequences of discrete symbols.

- But 2-RNNs can compute functions over sequences of continuous vectors (e.g., word embeddings), what about WAs?
- $\hookrightarrow$  We can extend the definitions of WAs to continuous vectors!
  - Can we learn linear 2-RNNs from data?
    - \* Over sequences of discrete symbols?
    - $\,\hookrightarrow\,$  Yes: spectral learning of WA
      - \* Over sequences of continuous vectors?
    - $\hookrightarrow$  Yes: technical contribution of [AISTATS'19]

## Beyond Strings and Trees: Graph Weighted Models

## A Look Back on String Weighted Automata

A Weighted Automaton  $A = (\alpha, \{\mathbf{A}^{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}, \omega)$  computes a function  $f_A : \Sigma^* \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f_{A}(\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}\cdots\sigma_{k})= \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{ op}\mathbf{A}^{\sigma_{1}}\mathbf{A}^{\sigma_{2}}\cdots\mathbf{A}^{\sigma_{k}}\boldsymbol{\omega}$$



## Beyond Strings: Weighted Tree Automata

• A weighted tree automaton (WTA) is a tuple  $A = \langle \alpha, \mathcal{T}, \{\omega_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \rangle$ 

 $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$ : vector of initial weights  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n}$ : tensor of transition weights  $\omega_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ : vector of final weights associated with  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ 

• A WTA computes a function  $f_A : \mathfrak{T}_{\Sigma} \to \mathbb{R}$ .



Automata, Tensor Networks and Learning

• 
$$\mathcal{F} = \{a(\cdot), h(\cdot, \cdot), g(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)\}$$
  
 $v_1$ 
 $h^{1}$ 
 $v_2$ 
 $v_3$ 
 $v_4$ 
 $v_4$ 

Figure: A graph on the ranked alphabet  $\mathcal{F} = \{a(\cdot), h(\cdot, \cdot), g(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)\}$ .

n

• 
$$\mathcal{F} = \{a(\cdot), h(\cdot, \cdot), g(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)\}$$
  
 $v_1$ 
 $h_2^{1}$ 
 $v_2$ 
 $v_3$ 
 $v_4$ 

Figure: A graph on the ranked alphabet  $\mathcal{F} = \{a(\cdot), h(\cdot, \cdot), g(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)\}.$ 

• GWM: vector  $\mathcal{M}^a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , matrix  $\mathcal{M}^h \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , tensor  $\mathcal{M}^g \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n}$ 

• 
$$\mathcal{F} = \{a(\cdot), h(\cdot, \cdot), g(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)\}$$

• GWM: vector  $\mathcal{M}^a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , matrix  $\mathcal{M}^h \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , tensor  $\mathcal{M}^g \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n}$ 



• 
$$\mathcal{F} = \{\alpha(\cdot), a(\cdot, \cdot), b(\cdot, \cdot), \omega(\cdot)\}$$

• GWM:  $\mathcal{M}^{lpha}, \mathcal{M}^{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{M}^a, \mathcal{M}^b \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes n}$ 



- $\mathcal{F} = \{a(\cdot, \cdot), b(\cdot, \cdot)\}$
- GWM:  $\mathbf{M}^{a}, \mathbf{M}^{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$



## Learning Graph Weighted Models

- Long term objective: extend the spectral learning algorithm to functions defined over graphs.
  - $\rightarrow\,$  learning general GWMs is very challenging.
- First step: study the problem of learning GWMs defined over simple families of graphs (circular strings, 2D grids).
- Minimization of GWMs over circular strings [GR, FoSSaCS'18]:
  - Minimizing WA  $\leftrightarrow$  linear algebra
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Minimizing GWMs  $\leftrightarrow$  theory of finite dimensional algebras

## Thank you! Questions?